

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

4-Acetamidoanilinium nitrate monohydrate

Sana Riahi,^a Mohamed Lahbib Mrad,^a Valeria Ferretti,^b Frederic Lefebvre^c and Cherif Ben Nasr^a*

^aLaboratoire de Chimie des Matériaux, Faculté des Sciences de Bizerte, 7021 Zarzouna, Tunisia, ^bDipartimento di Chimica and Centro di Strutturistica Diffrattometrica, University of Ferrara, via L. Borsari 46, I-44121 Ferrara, Italy, and ^cLaboratoire de Chimie Organometallique de Surface, 43 Bd du 11 Novembre 1918, 69616 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

Correspondence e-mail: cherif_bennasr@yahoo.fr

Received 11 April 2012; accepted 30 April 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 295 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.046; wR factor = 0.142; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.2.

In the title hydrated salt, $C_8H_{11}N_2O^+ \cdot NO_3^- \cdot H_2O$, the N-C bond distances [1.349 (2) and 1.413 (2) Å] along with the sum of the angles (359.88°) around the acetamide N atom clearly indicate that the heteroatom has an sp^2 character. The ammonium group is involved in a total of three $N-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, two of these are with a water molecule, which forms two $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. All these hydrogen bonds link the ionic units and the water molecule into infinite planar layers parallel to (100). The remaining two N-H···O interactions in which the ammoniun group is involved link these layers into an infinite three-dimensional network.

Related literature

For the structural diversity of amine salts, see: Tooke et al. (2004). For related nitrate compounds, see: Dai & Chen (2011); Pouravoubi et al. (2011); Berrah et al. (2011). For hydrogen-bond patterns in related compounds, see: Flores-Alamo et al. (2010). For details of graph-set theory, see: Bernstein et al. (1995).



Experimental

Crystal data	
$C_8H_{11}N_2O^+ \cdot NO_3^- \cdot H_2O$	b = 23.1112 (5) Å
$M_r = 231.21$	c = 11.4702 (3) Å
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	$\beta = 92.942 \ (1)^{\circ}$
a = 4.1059 (1) Å	V = 1087.00 (5) Å ³

Z = 4Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer 1885 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 4741 measured reflections $R_{\rm int} = 0.022$ 2606 independent reflections

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$ 197 parameters $wR(F^2) = 0.142$ All H-atom parameters refined $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.2 \hat{4} \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ S = 1.06 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 2606 reflections

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$01W-H1W\cdots O1$ $01W-H2W\cdots O3$ $N1-H3\cdots O2^{i}$ $N2-H4\cdots O1W^{ii}$	0.93 (3) 0.78 (3) 0.91 (2) 0.93 (2)	1.79 (3) 2.03 (3) 2.24 (2) 1.87 (2)	2.689 (2) 2.805 (2) 3.117 (2) 2.796 (2)	161 (3) 172 (3) 161 (2) 173 (2)
$N2 - H5 \cdots O2^{iii}$ $N2 - H6 \cdots O1W^{iv}$	0.89 (3) 0.93 (3)	2.14 (3) 1.95 (2)	3.008 (2) 2.827 (2)	165 (3) 156 (2)
Symmetry codes:	(i) $x - 1, -y$	$+\frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2};$ (ii)	-x + 1, -y,	-z + 1; (iii)

 $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2};$ (iv) -x, -y, -z + 1.

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 1997); cell refinement: DENZO-SMN (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: DENZO-SMN; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEPIII (Burnett & Johnson, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

We would like to acknowledge the support provided by the Secretary of State for Scientific Research and Technology of Tunisia.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LR2060).

References

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G. L., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115-119.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555-1573.
- Berrah, F., Bouacida, S. & Roisnel, T. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, o2057-o2058.

Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

- Dai, J. & Chen, X.-Y. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, o287.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). WinGX. University of Glasgow, Scotland.
- Flores-Alamo, M., González-Martínez, S. & Castillo-Blum, S. E. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, 0812.
- Nonius (1997). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307-326. New York: Academic Press.
- Pourayoubi, M., Eshtiagh-Hosseini, H., Sanaei Ataabadi, S., Mancilla Percino, T. & A. Leyva Ramírez, M. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, 0565.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Tooke, D. M., Spek, A. L., Reedijk, J. & Kannappan, R. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, o911-o912.

 $0.41 \times 0.32 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$

T = 295 K

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o1647 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812019393]

4-Acetamidoanilinium nitrate monohydrate

Sana Riahi, Mohamed Lahbib Mrad, Valeria Ferretti, Frederic Lefebvre and Cherif Ben Nasr

Comment

Salts of amine show interesting structural diversity governed mainly by hydrogen bonds (Tooke *et al.*, 2004), whose crystal pattern seems to be strongly influenced by the nature of the anion (Flores-Alamo *et al.*, 2010). We report here the crystal structure of one such compound, 4-acetamidoanilinium nitrate monohydrate, (I), formed from the reaction of 4-acetamidoanilinium cation, one nitrate anion and one water molecule. The NO₃⁻ anion geometry agrees with that observed in similar compounds (Dai & Chen, 2011; Pourayoubi *et al.*, 2011; Berrah *et al.*, 2011). In the organic cation the bond length distance N1—C7 = 1.349 (2) Å and the sum of the angles around N1 atoms = 359.88 ° clearly indicate that the heteroatom N1 has a *sp*² character. The N2 nitrogen atom is involved in a positive charge-assisted N—H···O hydrogen bond with a neighboring water molecule (N2···O1w = 2.796 (2) Å). Moreover, the water molecule forms two O—H···O interactions (O1W···O1 = 2.689 (2) Å and O1W···O3 = 2.805 (2) Å) with, respectively, the O1 oxygen atom belonging to the carbonyl group and the O3 atom of the adjacent NO₃⁻ anion. The weak hydrogen bond N1—H3···O2 (N1···O2 = 3.117 (2) Å) contributes to the robustness of the crystal architecture but it is not influencing the overall crystal packing pattern (Table 1, Fig. 2). Within the structure, the graph-set motif (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995) R_4^4 (22) is formed by two cations and two water molecules, which in turn link cations and anions to form infinite planar layers parallel to (1 0 0) (Fig. 2). These layers are interconnected via further hydrogen bonds to form a three dimensional network.

Experimental

Commercial 4-acetamidobenzenamine (3 mmol) was dissolved in water/HNO₃ (50:1 v/v) solution. Colorless single crystals of the title compound, suitable for X-ray analysis, were obtained after slowly evaporation of the solvent at room temperature.

Refinement

All H atoms were located in successive difference Fourier maps and refined riding on its parents atoms with Uiso=1.2 and 1.5Ueq(parent atom).

Computing details

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1997); cell refinement: *DENZO-SMN* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO-SMN* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR97* (Altomare *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEPIII* (Burnett & Johnson, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).



Figure 1

A view of (I) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.



Figure 2

A view, down the *a* axis, showing a layer of the structure. The hydrogen bonding transforming the layers in a threedimenional network has been omitted for clarity.

4-Acetamidoanilinium nitrate monohydrate

Crystal data	
$C_8H_{11}N_2O^+\cdot NO_3^-\cdot H_2O$	V = 1087.00 (5) Å ³
$M_r = 231.21$	Z = 4
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	F(000) = 488
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	$D_{\rm x} = 1.413 { m Mg} { m m}^{-3}$
a = 4.1059 (1) Å	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
b = 23.1112 (5) Å	Cell parameters from 4741 reflections
c = 11.4702 (3) Å	$\theta = 3.0 - 28.0^{\circ}$
$\beta = 92.942 \ (1)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 295 KPrismatic, pale yellow

Data collection

Dura concerion	
Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer	1885 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.022$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.0^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 3.7^\circ$
Graphite monochromator	$h = -5 \rightarrow 5$
φ scans and ω scans	$k = -30 \rightarrow 27$
4741 measured reflections	$l = -15 \rightarrow 15$
2606 independent reflections	
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$	Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map
$wR(F^2) = 0.142$	All H-atom parameters refined
S = 1.06	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0773P)^2 + 0.1041P]$
2606 reflections	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
197 parameters	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{ m max} < 0.001$
0 restraints	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.24 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

direct methods

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

 $0.41 \times 0.32 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	0.1624 (3)	0.11922 (5)	0.45863 (11)	0.0662 (4)	
O2	0.6017 (4)	0.36949 (6)	0.53827 (14)	0.0878 (5)	
03	0.3474 (5)	0.30471 (6)	0.63123 (12)	0.0866 (5)	
O4	0.3293 (4)	0.30565 (6)	0.44397 (12)	0.0766 (4)	
O1W	0.2790 (3)	0.18436 (6)	0.65055 (11)	0.0570 (3)	
N1	-0.0860 (3)	0.09798 (5)	0.28298 (12)	0.0500 (3)	
N2	0.2062 (4)	-0.13752 (6)	0.21089 (16)	0.0543 (4)	
N3	0.4244 (3)	0.32626 (5)	0.53870 (12)	0.0515 (3)	
C1	0.0001 (3)	0.03922 (6)	0.27013 (12)	0.0431 (3)	
C2	0.1814 (4)	0.00752 (7)	0.35317 (14)	0.0515 (4)	
C3	0.2493 (4)	-0.05022 (7)	0.33325 (15)	0.0518 (4)	
C4	0.1367 (3)	-0.07607 (6)	0.23129 (13)	0.0447 (3)	
C5	-0.0431 (4)	-0.04540 (7)	0.14791 (15)	0.0524 (4)	
C6	-0.1123 (4)	0.01213 (7)	0.16734 (14)	0.0519 (4)	
C7	-0.0072 (4)	0.13406 (6)	0.37245 (13)	0.0480 (4)	
C8	-0.1396 (5)	0.19416 (8)	0.3608 (2)	0.0621 (5)	

H1	0.259 (4)	0.0238 (8)	0.4267 (17)	0.061 (5)*	
H2	0.369 (5)	-0.0731 (9)	0.3872 (18)	0.065 (5)*	
H3	-0.205 (5)	0.1131 (9)	0.2208 (18)	0.060 (5)*	
H4	0.374 (5)	-0.1507 (9)	0.2619 (18)	0.067 (5)*	
H5	0.243 (6)	-0.1417 (13)	0.135 (3)	0.103 (9)*	
H6	0.040 (6)	-0.1603 (12)	0.239 (2)	0.095 (8)*	
H7	-0.229 (4)	0.0345 (9)	0.1086 (19)	0.065 (5)*	
H8	-0.123 (5)	-0.0614 (9)	0.0796 (18)	0.064 (5)*	
H9	-0.251 (9)	0.2023 (17)	0.290 (4)	0.141 (12)*	
H10	0.017 (8)	0.2231 (14)	0.371 (3)	0.115 (9)*	
H11	-0.272 (8)	0.2008 (15)	0.415 (3)	0.124 (11)*	
H1W	0.219 (6)	0.1691 (11)	0.578 (2)	0.087 (7)*	
H2W	0.288 (6)	0.2175 (13)	0.639 (2)	0.088 (8)*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U ²²	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0952 (9)	0.0479 (7)	0.0534 (7)	0.0049 (6)	-0.0168 (6)	-0.0067 (5)
O2	0.1177 (12)	0.0606 (8)	0.0825 (10)	-0.0398 (8)	-0.0211 (8)	0.0080 (7)
03	0.1328 (13)	0.0705 (9)	0.0578 (8)	-0.0060 (8)	0.0158 (8)	0.0140 (7)
O4	0.0939 (10)	0.0746 (9)	0.0600 (8)	-0.0196 (7)	-0.0086 (7)	-0.0111 (6)
O1W	0.0760 (8)	0.0460 (7)	0.0485 (7)	-0.0006 (5)	-0.0023 (5)	0.0004 (5)
N1	0.0605 (7)	0.0410 (7)	0.0475 (7)	0.0017 (5)	-0.0055 (6)	-0.0018 (5)
N2	0.0544 (8)	0.0424 (7)	0.0662 (10)	0.0028 (6)	0.0025 (7)	-0.0084 (6)
N3	0.0673 (8)	0.0381 (6)	0.0479 (7)	-0.0029 (5)	-0.0074 (6)	0.0047 (5)
C1	0.0473 (7)	0.0393 (7)	0.0428 (7)	-0.0037 (5)	0.0037 (6)	-0.0003 (6)
C2	0.0631 (9)	0.0466 (8)	0.0438 (8)	0.0018 (7)	-0.0059 (7)	-0.0036 (6)
C3	0.0599 (9)	0.0475 (8)	0.0472 (8)	0.0040 (7)	-0.0039 (7)	0.0018 (7)
C4	0.0451 (7)	0.0393 (7)	0.0505 (8)	-0.0025 (5)	0.0082 (6)	-0.0028 (6)
C5	0.0603 (9)	0.0493 (8)	0.0469 (9)	-0.0030(7)	-0.0046 (7)	-0.0075 (7)
C6	0.0619 (9)	0.0460 (8)	0.0467 (8)	0.0008 (7)	-0.0074 (7)	0.0005 (7)
C7	0.0531 (8)	0.0422 (8)	0.0487 (8)	-0.0052 (6)	0.0022 (6)	-0.0018 (6)
C8	0.0674 (11)	0.0448 (9)	0.0731 (13)	0.0038 (8)	-0.0067 (10)	-0.0086 (8)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C7	1.2286 (19)	C1—C6	1.393 (2)
O2—N3	1.2363 (18)	C2—C3	1.385 (2)
O3—N3	1.2284 (19)	C2—H1	0.96 (2)
O4—N3	1.2314 (18)	C3—C4	1.372 (2)
O1W—H1W	0.93 (3)	C3—H2	0.93 (2)
O1W—H2W	0.78 (3)	C4—C5	1.375 (2)
N1—C7	1.349 (2)	C5—C6	1.380 (2)
N1-C1	1.4129 (19)	С5—Н8	0.91 (2)
N1—H3	0.91 (2)	С6—Н7	0.96 (2)
N2—C4	1.4698 (19)	C7—C8	1.495 (2)
N2—H4	0.93 (2)	С8—Н9	0.93 (4)
N2—H5	0.89 (3)	C8—H10	0.93 (3)
N2—H6	0.93 (3)	C8—H11	0.86 (4)
C1—C2	1.387 (2)		

H1W—O1W—H2W	103 (2)	C4—C3—H2	117.5 (13)
C7—N1—C1	128.48 (14)	С2—С3—Н2	122.6 (13)
C7—N1—H3	117.0 (12)	C3—C4—C5	120.93 (14)
C1—N1—H3	114.4 (12)	C3—C4—N2	119.78 (14)
C4—N2—H4	111.1 (13)	C5—C4—N2	119.29 (14)
C4—N2—H5	107.7 (19)	C4—C5—C6	119.47 (15)
H4—N2—H5	115 (2)	С4—С5—Н8	123.0 (13)
C4—N2—H6	109.9 (16)	С6—С5—Н8	117.5 (13)
H4—N2—H6	97.5 (19)	C5—C6—C1	120.52 (15)
H5—N2—H6	116 (2)	С5—С6—Н7	120.4 (12)
O3—N3—O4	121.44 (15)	С1—С6—Н7	119.0 (12)
O3—N3—O2	120.58 (15)	O1—C7—N1	122.92 (14)
O4—N3—O2	117.98 (14)	O1—C7—C8	121.34 (15)
C2—C1—C6	119.12 (14)	N1—C7—C8	115.75 (15)
C2-C1-N1	124.34 (14)	С7—С8—Н9	115 (2)
C6—C1—N1	116.53 (13)	С7—С8—Н10	114.1 (18)
C3—C2—C1	120.07 (15)	H9—C8—H10	106 (3)
С3—С2—Н1	117.5 (11)	C7—C8—H11	110 (2)
C1—C2—H1	122.4 (11)	H9—C8—H11	107 (3)
C4—C3—C2	119.89 (15)	H10—C8—H11	104 (3)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
01 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>W</i> …01	0.93 (3)	1.79 (3)	2.689 (2)	161 (3)
O1 <i>W</i> —H2 <i>W</i> ···O3	0.78 (3)	2.03 (3)	2.805 (2)	172 (3)
N1—H3····O2 ⁱ	0.91 (2)	2.24 (2)	3.117 (2)	161 (2)
N2—H4···O1 W^{ii}	0.93 (2)	1.87 (2)	2.796 (2)	173 (2)
N2—H5···O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89 (3)	2.14 (3)	3.008 (2)	165 (3)
N2—H6···O1 W^{iv}	0.93 (3)	1.95 (2)	2.827 (2)	156 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1, -*y*+1/2, *z*-1/2; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*+1; (iii) -*x*+1, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2; (iv) -*x*, -*y*, -*z*+1.